

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,571	03/01/2004	Philip Corbin III	FLUX 2004-1	9864	
	7590 01/31/2007 LAW OFFICES, PLC		EXAM	EXAMINER	
801 BRICKELI			LE, DANG D		
SUITE 900 MIAMI, FL 33131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2834		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	. DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	01/31/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant/a)	
	Application No.		
Office Action Summany	10/790,571	CORBIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dang D. Le	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. viely filed the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No	ovember 2006		
	action is non-final.	X.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,16,31 and 32</u> is/are pending in the a	application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,16,31 and 32</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
are subject to restriction and or	Clouds requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $oxdot$ objected to by the $oxdot$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(5) 51 (7)	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau	•	a in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ''	d	
	or are defailed depied flot rederve	<b>u.</b> .	
	•		
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Lt	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/22/06 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 16, 31, and 32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not disclose the stainless steel 304.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,571

Art Unit: 2834

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood (2,437,871) in view of Puchy (2,386,505).

Regarding claim 1, Wood shows an apparatus for transferring torque magnetically comprising:

- A primary torque driving rotary member (1) and a secondary driven rotary member (3);
- The primary rotary member axially overlapping said secondary rotary member (Figure 1);
- The secondary rotary member being surrounded by said primary member (Figure 1);
- The primary rotary member, and not the secondary rotary member, having permanent magnets (17) mounted on it;
- Said secondary rotary member axially overlapped by said primary rotating member (Figure 1); wherein means (12) for varying said primary rotary member's axial position relative to said secondary rotating member being provided.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,571

Art Unit: 2834

producing device (not shown) and said secondary routing member being connected to a torque utilizing device (not shown) whereby rotation of the primary rotary member causes rotation of said secondary rotating member by some or all of the magnetic flux lines emanating from said permanent magnets mounted on said primary rotating member cutting through the electro-conductive material on said secondary rotary member thereby generating torque and rotation in said secondary rotary member in relation to the percentage of the total area that said secondary rotary member is axially overlapped by said primary rotary member.

Wood does not show the secondary rotary member having electro-conductive elements and magnetically permeable materials neither of which are ferromagnetic, and not having permanent magnets. Wood uses permanent magnets (18).

Puchy shows one of the rotary member having electro-conductive elements and magnetically permeable materials neither of which are ferromagnetic, and not having permanent magnets (26 being magnetic stainless steel) for the purpose of reducing corrosion and eddy current.

Since Wood and Puchy are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the secondary rotary member with electro-conductive elements and magnetically permeable materials neither of which are

ferromagnetic, and not having permanent magnets as taught by Puchy for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 16, it would also have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make a primary rotary member with electro-conductive elements and magnetically permeable materials neither of which are ferromagnetic, and not having permanent magnets as taught by Puchy for the purpose discussed above.

7. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood in view of Puchy and further in view of Brennan et al. (4,873,461).

Regarding claims 31 and 32, the machine of Wood modified by Puchy includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the use of stainless steel 304.

Brennan et al. shows the use of stainless steel 304 for the purpose of increasing stiffness.

Since Wood, Puchy, and Brennan et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use stainless steel 304 as taught by Brennan et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

Application/Control Number: 10/790,571

Art Unit: 2834

Page 6

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1/20/07

DANG LE PRIMARY EXAMINER